

CONFERÊNCIA BRASILEIRA DE CÂNCER DE MAMA

LACOG - GBECAM 2024

REALIZAÇÃO















EDUARDO MILLEN

MD-PhD

SEM CONFLITOS DE INTERESSES

Recurrence-free survival following sentinel node-positive breast cancer without completion axillary lymph node dissection – first results from the international randomized SENOMAC trial

Jana de Boniface, M.D., Ph.D., Associate Professor Department of Molecular Medicine and Surgery, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden Breast Unit, Capio St. Göran's Hospital, Stockholm Sweden



- ACOSOG Z0011 results first published in 2010 & 2011
- EORTC 10981-22023 AMAROS in 2014
- Uncertainties regarding
 - Statistical power
 - Radiotherapy target volumes
- Under-representation of relevant subgroups
 - Older patients, patients receiving mastectomy, larger tumors, SLN macro- versus micrometastases

San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium[®] December 5-9, 2023 | San Antonio, TX | @SABCSSanAntonio

- Prospective 1:1 randomized clinical non-inferiority trial
 - **Standard of Care**: Completion axillary dissection
 - Intervention: No completion axillary dissection
- Primary endpoint: Overall Survival
- Non-inferiority margin 2.5% (HR upper limit of CI below 1.44)
- Target accrual 3000 patients
 - 190 events required for statistical power
- Secondary endpoint: Recurrence-Free Survival

US + PAAF +: cN0 incluído

- T1-T3 primary invasive breast cancer
- Clinical node negativity (palpation)
 - Mandatory preoperative axillary ultrasound
- Male & female patients
- Breast-conserving surgery and mastectomy
- Up to 2 sentinel lymph node macrometastases
- No medical contraindications to adjuvant radiotherapy or relevant systemic treatment

Enrolment

San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium[®] December 5-9, 2023 | San Antonio, TX | @SABCSSanAntonio



- Per-protocol population N=2540
 - Standard of Care N=1205
 - Intervention N=1335
- Median follow-up 47 months (2-95)
- Median age 61 years (20-94)
 - Age 65 years or above in 1025 patients (40%)
- Ten male patients (0.4%)

Results: Tumor

- Median tumor size 20 mm (0.2-155 mm)
 - T3 in 147 patients (5.8%)
- Lobular carcinoma in 504 patients (19.8%)
- Estrogen receptor positive & HER2 negative in 2200 patients (86.6%)

- 1 sentinel lymph node macrometastasis in 2151 patients (84.7%)
- Extranodal extension in 870 patients (34.3%)
- Removed lymph nodes median 15 (1-51) versus 2 (1-15)
- Additional sentinel lymph node micrometastases in 261 patients (10.2%)

- Non-sentinel lymph node (SLN) metastases on axillary dissection in 403 patients (34.5%)
 - If 1 SLN met: 31.3%
 - If 2 SLN met: 51.3%
- Pathological nodal stage (primary surgery)

	Standard of Care	Intervention
pN1	1016 (84.3%)	1311 (98.2%)
pN2	<mark>116 (9.6%)</mark>	<mark>7 (0.5%)</mark>
pN3	35 (2.9%)	0 (0%)

- Mastectomy in 920 patients (36.2%)
- Adjuvant radiotherapy including nodal target volumes in
 - Standard of Care N=1058 (88.4%)
 - Intervention N=1192 (89.9%)
- Systemic treatment in all but 27 patients
 - Chemotherapy N=1649 (64.9%)
 - Endocrine treatment N=2335 (91.9%)
 - HER2-targeted therapy N=224 (8.8%)

This presentation is the intellectual property of the author/presenter. Contact them at jana.de-boniface@ki.se for permission to reprint and/or distribute

Recurrence-Free Survival

San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium[®] December 5-9, 2023 | San Antonio, TX | @SABCSSanAntonio

- 191 Recurrence-Free Survival (RFS) events
 - Standard of Care
 - Intervention N=95 (7.1%)

Pre especificado: 190 eventos

- Estimated 5-year RFS
 - Standard of Care
 - Intervention

88.7% (86.3-91.1) 89.7% (87.5-91.9)



Recurrence-Free Survival

San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium[®] December 5-9, 2023 | San Antonio, TX | @SABCSSanAntonio



Results: Non-inferiority

- Hazard ratio 0.89 (0.66-1.19)
- Test of non-inferiority p<0.001</p>



Subgroup analyses

San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium[®] December 5-9, 2023 | San Antonio, TX | @SABCSSanAntonio

Subgroup	Ν		HR (95% CI)
PP population	2540	-	0.89 (0.66 to 1.19)
Age			
<65 years	1515		0.77 (0.49 to 1.20)
≥65 years	1025	H 1	1.02 (0.69 to 1.51)
T stage			
T1-2	2393	H	0.94 (0.69 to 1.28)
ТЗ	147		0.47 (0.16 to 1.39)
Number of macrometastases			
1	2151	⊢	0.92 (0.67 to 1.27)
2	389		0.79 (0.39 to 1.59)
Tumor type			
Lobular	504	H	0.91 (0.47 to 1.76)
Non-lobular	2036		0.88 (0.64 to 1.23)
		0.5 1 1.5	2
	Intervention better Standard of care better		

Subgroup analyses

San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium[®] December 5-9, 2023 | San Antonio, TX | @SABCSSanAntonio

Surgery			
Breast-conserving	1620		0.98 (0.65 to 1.47)
Mastectomy	920		0.79 (0.52 to 1.21)
Extracapsular extension			
Yes	870	H	0.94 (0.58 to 1.54)
No	1662	H	0.86 (0.60 to 1.25)
Subtype		i	
ER+HER2-	2200	F	0.95 (0.68 to 1.32)
ER+HER2+	172 ⊦		0.26 (0.07 to 0.96)
ER-HER2+	57	I	→ 1.04 (0.17 to 6.35)
ER-HER2-	103	·	→ 0.95 (0.39 to 2.30)
		0.5 1 1.5	2
	< Inter	vention better Standard c	→ of care better

Conclusion

- SLN biopsy alone non-inferior to axillary dissection
 - High proportion of nodal irradiation & systemic treatment
- Clinically relevant subgroups well represented
 - Mastectomy
 - Patients aged \geq 65 years
 - Larger tumors
- Next step is to evaluate omission of nodal RT in the ongoing randomized T-REX trial

ESTUDOS RANDOMIZADOS LN+ SEM DISSECÇÃO AXILAR

ESTUDOS	FOLLOW UP ANOS	US AXILAR	LN + ADICIONAIS	RECORRÊNCIA AXILAR
2301	10	NÃO	13%	1%
AATRM	6	NÃO	13%	1%
Z011	10	NÃO	27,2% 20% > 3 LN+ 13,7% > 4 LN+	1,3%
AMAROS	10	NÃ0	33% 8,4> 4 LN+	1,19%
OTOASOR	8	SIM	38,5% 22%> 4LN+	1,7%
SINODAR	3	SIM	44% 13% > 4 LN+	< 0,5%
SENOMAC	47 MESES	SIM < 2 LN+	34,5% 1 SLN met: 31.3% 2 SLN met: 51.3%	



The OPBC05/EUBREAST-14R/ICARO study Are nodal isolated tumor cells (ITCs) after neoadjuvant chemotherapy an indication for axillary dissection?



Speaker: Giacomo Montagna, MD, MPH Breast Service, Department of Surgery, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center

Giacomo Montagna MD, MPH, Alison Laws MD, MPH, Massimo Ferrucci MD, PhD, Mary M. Mrdutt MD, MS, Susie X. Xun MD, Sung Gwe Ahn MD, PhD, Mariacarla Andreozzi PhD, Suleyman Bademler MD, Hakan Balbaloglu MD, Nora Balint-Lahat MD, Maggie Banys-Paluchowski MD PhD, Daniel Meirelles Barbalho MD, PhD, Andrea V. Barrio MD, Nuran Bese MD, Jean François Boileau MD, MSC, Judy Boughey MD, Marissa Boyle MD, Flavia Vidal Cabero MD, MSc, Daniela Cocco MD, Fabio Corsi MD, Angelena Crown MD, Elco de Bree MD, PhD, Maria del Mar Vernet-Tomas MD, Christine Deutschmann MD, Emilia J. Diego MD, Nina Ditsch MD, Claire Eden MD, Ruth Eller MD, Emanuela Esposito MD, PhD, Oluwadamilola Fayanju MD, MPHS, Franziska Fick MD, Forian Fitzal MD, Meghan R. Flanagan MD, MPH, Damiano Gentile MD, Oreste D. Gentilini MD, Maite Goldschmidt MSc, Mehmet Ali Gulcelik MD, Callie Hlavin, MD, MPH, Martin Heidinger MD, Jörg Heil MD, PhD, Justyna Jelinska MD, PhD, Güldeniz Karadeniz Cakmak MD, FEBS, Susan Kesmodel MD, Natalia Krawczyk MD, Henry M. Kuerer MD, PhD, Thorsten Kühn MD, PhD, Sherko Kümmel MD PhD, Cornelia Leo MD, Julie Loesch MD, Francesco Milardi MD, Dawid Murawa MD, PhD, Tracy Ann Moo MD, Tehillah S. Menes MD, Valentina Nekljudova PhD, Lisa A. Newman MD MPH, Daniele Passeri MD, PhD, Jessica M. Pastoriza MD, Andraz Perhavec MD, PhD, Melissa Pilewskie MD, Nina Pislar MD, Natália Polidorio MD, PhD, Froirta Poulakaki MD, PhD, Avina Rami, Fabian Riedel MD, Nicola Rocco MD PhD, Jai Min Ryu MD, PhD, Haraos Scanzes MD, PhD, Varadan Sevilimedu, MBBS, DrPH, Christoph Tausch MD, Ekaterini Christina Tampaki MD, PhD, Attanasios Tampakis MD, PhD, Marios Konstantinos Tasoulis MD, PhD, M. Umit Ugurlu MD, Cihan Uras MD, Cicero Urban, MD, PhD, Astrid Botty Van den Bruele MD, Annemiek van Hemert MSc, Glenn Vergauwen MD, PhD, Denise Vorburger MD, Fredrik Wärnberg, Anna Weiss MD, Austin D. Williams MD, MSEd, Kerstin Wimmer MD, PhD, Stephanie M Wong MD, MPH, Steven G. Woodward MD, Tae-Kyung Robyn Yoo MD, Jennifer Q. Zhang MD, Firdos M. Ziauddin MD, Tari King MD, Hasan San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium®, December 5-9, 2023

Background: Nodal Burden in Patients with Residual Nodal Disease After NAC

 Patients with a positive sentinel lymph node (SLN) after neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) have a high residual nodal burden, and axillary lymph node dissection (ALND) is currently considered standard of care

	ACOSOG Z1071	SN FNAC	MSKCC
Micromets	164/273 (60.1%)	3/8 (37%)	34/61 (56%)
Macromets		28/44 (64%)	75/121 (62%)

Boughey J et al. JAMA 2013 Boileau JF et al. JCO 2015 This presentation is the intellectual property of the author/presenter. Contact them at montaging@mskcc.org for permission to reprint and/or distribute

Residual Isolated Tumor Cells

- Residual isolated tumor cells (ITCs) are found in ~1.5% of patients undergoing neoadjuvant chemotherapy
- Data on the likelihood of finding additional positive lymph nodes in patients with residual ITCs are scarce, and the benefit of ALND is unclear

	ACOSOG Z1071	SN FNAC	MSKCC	OVERALL
ITCs	4/11	4/7	1/6	9/24 (37.5%)
As a consequence standardized	ience, surgical n	nanagement of	f the axilla in t	hese patients is no



- To determine how often additional positive LNs are found in patients with residual ITCs
- To evaluate rates of axillary and any invasive recurrence
- To compare outcomes in patients treated with and without ALND

Study Population

Inclusion criteria

- T1-4 N0-3 BC patients
- Surgery after NAC with detection of ITCs [ypN0(i+)] at frozen section or final pathology
- SLNB performed with dual-tracer mapping or TAD or MARI for N+ and with single tracer for N0
- Detection of ITCs by H&E or IHC

Exclusion criteria

- No SLNB/TAD
- Inflammatory breast cancer
- Stage IV
- NET
- Detection by OSNA (quantitative measurement of target mRNA) due to lack of standardized cut-off





 Clinical and treatment variables, including pathologic characteristics of the primary tumor, surgery, radiation, and systemic therapy were collected from institutional databases

Statistical Analysis

- Clinicopathologic characteristics were compared between surgical groups using the Wilcoxon rank sum test, Pearson's Chi-squared test, and Fisher's exact test
- Competing risk analysis was performed to assess the cumulative incidence rates of axillary recurrence and any invasive recurrence (locoregional or distant)
- 5-year cumulative incidence rates were compared between patients treated with and without ALND using Gray's test

Flow Diagram



Clinical Characteristics

	Overall n = 583	No ALND n = 401	ALND n = 182	p value
Age, years (IQR)	48 (41, 57)	48 (40, 57)	49 (43, 58)	0.11
Race/Ethnicity				0.5
Asian	11%	10%	13%	
Black	5%	6%	3%	
Hispanic	5%	6%	4%	
White	77%	76%	77%	
Other/unknown	2%	3%	2%	
Clinical T stage				0.15
1	16%	17%	15%	
2	57%	55%	62%	
3	23%	25%	19%	
4	3%	3%	4%	
Х	0.2%	0%	0.5%	
Clinical N stage				<0.001
0	26%	30%	16%	
1	64%	63%	67%	
2	8%	5%	13%	
3	2%	2%	4%	

Pathological Characteristics

	Overall n = 583	No ALND n = 401	ALND n = 182	p value
Tumor differentiation				0.13
Well	6%	8%	3%	
Moderately	39%	39%	40%	
Poorly	54%	53%	57%	
unknown	49	27	22	
Histology				0.02
Ductal	89%	88%	92%	
Lobular or mixed	9%	11%	5%	
Other	2%	2%	3%	
unknown	2	1	1	
Receptor subtype				0.6
HR+/HER2-	41%	40%	43%	
HR+/HER2+	28%	27%	29%	
HR-/HER2+	10%	10%	10%	
HR-/HER2-	21%	22%	18%	
LVI				<0.001
Yes	29%	24%	38%	

Axillary Staging Characteristics

	Overall n = 583	No ALND n = 401	ALND n = 182	p value
Staging technique (cN+ only)	n = 433			
SLNB with dual tracer mapping	58%	52%	69%	< 0.001
TAD	34%	37%	28%	
MARI	8%	11%	3%	
Entire cohort (cN0 and cN+)				
# of SLNs removed (mean, min, max)	3.3 (0, 16)	3.5 (1, 16)	2.8 (0, 10)	< 0.001
# of SLNs with ITCs (mean, min, max)	1.2 (0, 6)	1.2 (0, 6)	1.2 (0, 6)	0.6
ITCs detected on frozen section				< 0.001
Yes	25%	8%	62%	
Not performed/unknown	20	11	9	
Total # of LNs removed (mean, min, max)	7 (1,37)	4 (1, 16)	15 (4, 37)	< 0.001



Treatment Characteristics

	Overall n = 583	No ALND n = 401	ALND n = 182	p value
NAC regimen				
HER2-	n = 362			0.8
AC-T	79%	78%	81%	
AC-T + Carbo	6.6%	6.0%	8.1%	
AC-T + Carbo with pembrolizumab	2.8%	2.8%	2.7%	
Anthracycline-free regimen	2.8%	3.2%	1.8%	
Other	8.6%	9.6%	6.3%	
HER2+	n = 221			0.068
AC-TH	22%	20%	27%	
AC-THP	29%	29%	31%	
ТС-Н	1.8%	1.3%	2.8%	
TC-HP	30%	36%	18%	
Other	16.5%	13.7%	18%	

Treatment Characteristics

	Overall n = 583	No ALND n = 401	ALND n = 182	p value
Type of breast surgery				0.13
Breast conservation	46%	48%	41%	
Mastectomy	54%	52%	59%	
Radiation therapy (RT)				
Breast (n = 267)	98%	97%	100%	0.3
Chest wall (n = 316)	82%	78%	89%	0.024
Nodal RT				0.038
Yes	77%	75%	82%	

Additional Positive Lymph Nodes in the ALND Group (n=182)



Additional Positive Lymph Nodes at ALND by Nodal Status at Presentation



Axillary Recurrence

Any (Isolated or Combined with Local and Distant Recurrence)





Axillary Recurrence (No ALND vs ALND)

Isolated or Combined with Local and Distant Recurrence





Isolated



San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium®, December 5-9, 2023

Any Invasive Recurrence (Locoregional or Distant)



Any Invasive Recurrence (No ALND vs ALND)





Strengths and Limitations

Strengths

- First study to compare outcomes in patients with residual ITCs treated with and without ALND
- Large number of patients to examine residual nodal burden in patients with ITCs
- Multicenter
- All settings (public, private academic, and community hospitals)

Limitations

- Retrospective
- Relatively short median followup (3.2 years)
- Pathological assessment was not standardized

Conclusions

- The likelihood of finding additional positive lymph nodes in patients with residual ITCs is lower than in patients with residual micro- and macrometastases
 macrometastases were found at ALND in 5% of cases
 no impact of nodal status at presentation
- Detection of ITCs on frozen section was strongly associated with ALND
- Rates of axillary and invasive recurrence did not statistically differ based on the use of ALND



- These results do not support routine ALND in patients with residual ITCs after NAC
- Randomized trials (NASBP-B51) will provide further insight to whether nodal RT is needed in this setting

Surgical Treatment of Women with Breast Cancer and a *BRCA1* Pathogenic Variant: An International Analysis of the Impact of Bilateral Mastectomy on Survival

Kelly A. Metcalfe, RN, PhD, FAAN, FCAHS, FCAN Senior Scientist, Women's College Research Institute, Toronto, ON Professor, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON



Introduction

- Germline genetic testing has been integrated into clinical care for women with breast cancer prior to treatment
- Pathogenic variants in BRCA1 and BRCA2 are found in 3-4% of women with breast cancer
- Treatment can be personalized based on genetic test results
- Treatment options include consideration of index cancer and increased risks of ipsilateral and contralateral breast cancer, and ovarian cancer
- Surgical options include breast conserving treatment and mastectomy

To evaluate the **risk of contralateral breast cancer** and **breast cancer specific mortality** by surgical treatment in women with *BRCA1* pathogenic variant and non-metastatic breast cancer

Methods

- Eligible subjects identified from international cohort
- Clinical and treatment data collected through medical chart review
- Demographic data collected through patient questionnaire
- Women were followed from date of breast cancer diagnosis to
 - Date of last follow-up

OR

• Date of death

Eligibility Criteria

- Breast cancer stage I to III
- <u>BRCA1</u> pathogenic variant

Excluded:

- DCIS or Stage IV breast cancer
- Synchronous bilateral breast cancer
- No follow-up

Study Cohort

- 2482 eligible participants
- 26 centers
- 11 countries

Variable	Mean (range) / frequency (%)
Date of diagnosis	2011.1(1995-2021)
Follow up (years)	8.9 (0.0-26.0)
Age at Diagnosis (years)	
Mean	43.1 (18-70)
<=40	1049 (42.3) 76% < 50 anos
40-50	833(33.6)
50+	600(24.2)
Surgery	
BCT	852 (34.3)
Mastectomy	1141 (46.0)
Bilateral Mastectomy	489(19.7) 20% mastectomi

Results: Participant Characteristics

Variable	BCT N=852	Unilateral mastectomy N=1141	Bilateral Mastectomy N=489	P-value
Date of dx	2010.9(1995-2021)	2009.9(1996-2021)	2014.1(1997-2021)	<0.0001
Follow-up years	9.2(0.2-26.0)	9.6 (0.1-25.7)	6.5 (0.0-23.5)	<0.0001
Age at Diagnosis				
Mean	43.5 (20-70)	43.5 (18-69)	41.3 (23-70)	<0.0001
<=40	356 (41.8)	443 (38.8)	250 (51.1)	
40-50	270 (31.7)	415 (36.4)	148 (30.3)	<0.0001
50+	226 (26.5)	283 (24.8)	91 (18.6)	

Results: Clinical Characteristics

Variable	BCT N=852	Unilateral mastectomy N=1141	Bilateral Mastectomy N=489	P-value
Size (cm) <=2 2-5	2.1 (0-20) 506 (59.4) 277 (32 5)	3.0 (0-40) 451 (39.5) 508 (44 5)	2.2 (0-27) 263 (53.8) 188 (38 4)	<0.0001
5+	24 (2.8)	101 (8.9)	21 (4.3)	<0.000 T
Neg Pos	647 (78.1) 182 (21.9)	683 (62.4) 412 (37.6)	354 (75.6) 114 (24.4)	0.03
Grade I II III	20 (2.5) 173 (22.1) 591 (75.4)	22 (2.2) 243 (24.1) 744 (73.7)	23 (2.6) 75 (16.1) 380 (81.4)	0.05
ER Neg Pos	614 (72.2) 233 (27.4)	826 (73.4) 300 (26.6)	360 (74.2) 124 (25.6)	0.52

DO NOT POST

Results: Other Treatments

Variable	BCT N=852	Unilateral mastectomy N=1141	Bilateral Mastectomy N=489	P-value
Oophorectomy No Yes	347 (41.7) 485 (58.3)	555 (49.4) 569 (50.6)	170 (35.4) 310 (64.6)	<0.0001
Radiotherapy No Yes	159 (18.9) 683 (81.1)	506 (44.7) 627 (55.3)	287 (60.7) 186 (39.3)	<0.0001
Chemotherapy No Yes	117 (15.5) 640 (84.5)	246 (23.1) 819 (76.9)	225 (52.9) 200 (47.1)	<0.0001
Tamoxifon No Yes	667 (78.3) 185 (21.7)	844 (74.0) 297 (26.0)	406 (83.0) 83 (17.0)	0.0002

DO NOT POST

San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium[®] | @SABCSSanAntonio

Contralateral Breast Cancer

Contralateral Breast Cancer

11.5% of participants diagnosed with contralateral breast cancer

Variable	BCT N=852	Unilateral mastectomy N=1141	Bilateral Mastectomy N=489	P-value
Contralateral BC				
No	760 (89.2)	1011 (88.6)	485 (99.2)	
Yes	92 (10.8)	130 (11.4)	4 (0.8)	<0.0001

Contralateral Breast Cancer by Surgery



DO NOT POST

San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium[®] | @SABCSSanAntonio

Breast Cancer Mortality by Contralateral Breast Cancer

	Univariate HR(95%CI)P	Multivariate * HR(95%CI)P
All subjects		
Contralateral BC		
NO	1	1
Yes (time dependent)	2.20(1.48-3.28)0.0001	2.22(1.49-3.32) < 0.0001
Age <40 years Contralatoral BC		
Νο	1	1
Yes (time dependent)	2.60(1.35-4.99)0.004	2.71(1.40-5.24)0.003
Age >40 years Contralateral BC		
Νο	1	1
Yes (time dependent)	2.02(1.22-3.34)0.006	1.99(1.19-3.31)0.008

*adjusted by age at dx (\leq =40, 30-50, 50+), size (\leq 2, 2-5, >5), nodes (Neg/Pos), surgery, oophorectomy (time dependent), tamoxifen (yes/no), er (pos/neg), and chemotherapy

DO NOT POST

Breast Cancer Mortality

Breast Cancer Mortality

285 (11.5%) died of breast cancer

Variable	BCT N=852	Unilateral mastectomy N=1141	Bilateral Mastectomy N=489	P-value
Died of EC No Yes	667 (78.3) 76 (6.9)	968 (84.8) 173 (15.2)	453 (92.6) 36 (7.4)	<0.0001
* VIÉS DE SELEÇÃO?				

BRCA1 Breast Cancer-Specific Survival

- 15-year breast cancer-specific survival
 - Overall 82.9%
 - BCT 86.2%
 - Mastectomy 78.7%
 - Bilateral Mastectomy 88.7%

Breast Cancer Mortality by Surgery



Breast Cancer Mortality by Surgery Type

	Univariate HR(95%CI)P	Multivariate * HR(95%CI)P
All subjects BCT Unilateral Mastectomy Bilateral Mastectomy	1 1.79(1.36-2.34)<0.0001 0.99(0.67-1.48)0.97	1 1.22(0.92-1.62)0.17 0.88(0.58-1.13)0.19
Age <u><40 years</u> BCT Unilateral Mastectomy Bilateral Mastectomy	1 1.68(1.08-2.63)0.02 1.13(0.63-2.02)0.68	1 1.28(0.81-2.04)0.29 0.94(0.51-1.72)0.84
Age >40 years BCT Unilateral Mastectomy Bilateral Mastectomy	1 1.83(1.30-2.58)0.0005 0.89(0.51-1.55)0.68	1 1.15(0.80-1.65)0.44 0.83(0.46-1.48)0.52

*adjusted by age at dx (\leq =40, 30-50, 50+), size (\leq 2, 2-5, >5), nodes (Neg/Pos), oophorectomy (time dependent), tamoxifen (yes/no), er (pos/neg), chemotherapy (yes/no), and preventive mastectomy for those first surgery not bilateral (time dependent)

DO NOT POST

Discussion

Conclusions

- Women with BRCA1 PV and bilateral mastectomy
 - Significantly less likely to develop contralateral breast cancer (p<0.0001)
- Women with BRCA1 PV and contralateral breast cancer
 - Twice as likely to die of breast cancer (HR 2.22, p<0.0001)

 Bilateral mastectomy not significantly associated with reduction in mortality compared to BCT (HR 0.83, p=0.52)

- Confirmatory studies with longer follow-up
- Future studies with newer treatments (including Olaparib)
- Benefit of oophorectomy
- Compare to non-carrier population
- Consider other genes ie *PALB2*, *Tp53*



eduardomillen@gmail.com