New endocrine therapies and combinations for the treatment of luminal metastatic breast cancer

Gustavo Werutsky, MD, PhD

Executive Director, Latin American Cooperative Oncology Group (LACOG) Breast Cancer Program, Hospital Moinhos de Vento Breast Cancer Group Steering Committee, European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) Executive Board, Breast International Group (BIG) Porto Alegre, Brazil









Disclosures

Gustavo Werutsky

Institutional financial interest

<u>Research grants/ Local PI/ Steering Committee</u>: AstraZeneca, Astellas, BeiGene, BMS, Roche, Ipsen, Janssen, Libbs, Lilly, MSD, Novartis, Roche, Pfizer and Takeda.

Personal financial interest

Honoraria/ Advisory: AstraZeneca, MSD, Novartis, Daiichi, Roche.

Non-financial interest

LACOG Executive Director, member of the Breast International Group (BIG) Executive Board and the EORTC Breast Cancer Group Steering Committee.

Overview of Clinical Trials with CDK 4/6 Inhibitors in Advanced Breast Cancer



1. Goetz PM, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2017;35:3638-3646; 2. Sledge GW Jr, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2017;35:2875-2884; 3. Dickler MN, et al. Clin Cancer Res. 2017;23:5218-5224; 4. Finn RS, et al. N Engl J Med. 2016;375:1925-1936; 5. Cristofanilli M, et al. Lancet Oncol. 2016;17:425-439; 6. Hortobagyi GN, et al. N Engl J Med. 2016;375:1738-1748; 7. Slamon DJ, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36:2465-2472; 8. Tripathy D, et al. Lancet Oncol. 2018;19:904-915. 9. Xu B, et al. SMO 2022 10. Xu B, et al. Nature Medicine volume 27, pages 1904–1909 (2021)

Werutsky G. unpublished

MAINTAIN: continuing CDK 4/6 inhibitors post progression



- Prior CDK4/6 inhibitor: 87% Palbociclib
- Prior duration of CDK 4/6 inhibitor: 15.5 vs 17months
- 60% visceral metastasis



PACE: Palbociclib After CDK 4/6i and Endocrine Therapy

Luminal BC patients progressing to CDK 4/6i benefit from immunotherapy?







MONALESSA 2, 3, -7: Mechanisms of Acquired Resistance to Ribocilib Plus Endocrine Therapy



In the RIB arm, the frequency of alterations in RB1 (10.4% vs 2.0%), ATM (11.3% vs 8.4%), FAT1 (4.8% vs 3.0%), FAT3 (5.0% vs 2.5%), and TET2 (11.6% vs 8.6%) was higher at EOT vs BL (FDR-adj P < .10)

- GATA3 was higher at EOT vs BL in the ET alone arm but not with the combination of RIB + ET
- In both arms, the frequency of alterations in ESR1 was also higher at EOT vs BL for RIB (26.3% vs 9.1%) and PBO (28.9% vs 5.4%) (FDR-adj P < .0001)



• An increase in TMB from BL to EOT was observed in both arms (P < .0001)

• In the RIB arm, the percentage of patients with high TMB (> 10 mutations/Mb) increased from BL (1.1%) to EOT (5.7%) (McNemar P = .0001)

PADA-1: characterization of ESR1 mutations with aromatase inhibitor or fulvestrant + palbociclib therapy

PADA-1: Updated PFS results



Updated PFS results (primary endpoint) Data cutoff June 2022: Median F/U 28.2 mo; N=152 PFS events 100 Progression-free survival (%) — AI + PAL 80 — FUL + PAL 60 40 20 \triangle mPFS= 7.0 mo 0 0 3 9 12 15 18 21 24 6 N at risk Months (censored) 88 (0) 63 (4) 40 (8) 18 (11) 9 (14) 84 (0) 40 (0) 19 (1) 10(1) 7 (1)

	ASCO 2023	2021 analysis ¹			
	FUL + PAL	AI + PAL	FUL + PAL	AI + PAL	
mPFS, mo (95% Cl)	12.8 (9.3–14.7)	5.8 (3.9–7.5)	11.9	5.7	
HR (95% CI)	0.54 (0.38	0.6	1		
Optional crossover (n=49) mPFS (95% Cl)	3.5 (2.4–5.4)				

PADA-1 strategy: Target rising **bESR1**_{mut} when they become detectable during first-line AI + palbociclib treatment

New frontiers for ER inhibition



Ferraro; Cancer Treat Rev 2022

Oral SERD trial landscape in pretreated HR+/HER2- metastatic breast cancer

	EMERALD ¹	SERENA-2 ²	EMBER-3 ³	AMEERA-3 ⁴⁻⁶	acelERA ⁶⁻⁹
Treatment	Elacestrant	Camizestrant	Imlunestrant +/- abemaciclib	Amcenestrant	Giredestrant
Control Arm	fulvestrant / Als	fulvestrant	fulvestrant / exemestane	fulvestrant / Als / tamoxifen	fulvestrant / Als
Phase (n)	Phase 3 (478)	Phase 2 (240)	Phase 3 (800)	Phase 2 (367)	Phase 2 (303)
Patients	Men or postmenopausal women	Postmenopausal women	Men or postmenopausal women	Men or women (any menopausal status)	Men or women (any menopausal status)
Prior CDK4/6i	Required (100%)	Permitted	Permitted	Permitted (79.7%)	Permitted (42%)
Allowed Prior Fulvestrant	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES
Allowed Prior Chemotherapy in mBC	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES
Data readout	Positive (Registrational)	Positive (Non-Registrational)	Ongoing	Negative	Negative

1. Bidard FC, et al. *J Clin Oncol.* 2022;40(28):3246-3256. 2. SERENA2. ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT04214288. Accessed November 18, 2022, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04214288; 3. EMBER-3. Clinical Trials.gov identifier: NCT04975308. Accessed November 18, 2022. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04975308; 4. AMEERA3. ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT04059484. Accessed November 18, 2022. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04959484; 5. Tolaney SM, et al. *Ann Oncol.* 2022; 33(7):S88-S121 (Abstr 212MO); 6. Evaluate Vantage. https://www.evaluate.com/vantage/articles/news/trial-results/roche-has-rare-breast-cancer-setback. Accessed July 20, 2022; 7. acelERA ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT04576455. Accessed November 18, 2022. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04576455; 8. Martin M, et al. *J Clin Oncol.* 2021;39(15):abstr TPS1100; 9. Martin Jimenez M, et al. *Ann Oncol.* 2022;33(7):S88-S121 (abstr 211MO).

Study design: acelERA BC (NCT04576455)









Martin M. ESMO 2022; Oliveira M SABCS 2022

SERENA-2 study overview

EMERALD Phase 3 Study Design

International, multicenter, randomized, open-label, active-controlled, event-driven, phase III study

cycle3+: d1)

Patient Inclusion Criteria (N = 466)

- Aged \geq 18 years
- · Locally advanced or metastatic breast adenocarcinoma not amenable to curative therapy
- ER+/HER2- disease
- Postmenopausal
- Measurable disease or non-measurable bone-only disease
- 1 to 2 lines of previous ET and 0 to 1 lines of chemotherapy for metastatic disease
- Prior CDK4/6 inhibitor treatment with fulvestrant or an aromatase inhibitor (AI)
- Appropriate candidate for ET
- Confirmed estrogen receptor 1 (ESR1) mutation status



End Points

- PFS in all patients
- **PFS** in patients with ESR1 mutations only

Secondary:

- **Overall survival** (OS) in all patients
- OS in patients with ESR1 mutations only

Stratification factors: ESR1 mutation status, presence of visceral metastases, previous fulvestrant treatment

EMERALD: Primary endpoint PFS in all pts and ESR1 mut only Patients with ESR1mut

All pts (ITT)





Time (months)

No. at risk: Elacestrant 115 54 46 33 26 26 21 20 16 14 11 9 7 5 5 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 0 SOC 113 19 18 12 12 9 9 4 1



Bidard et al. ; J Clin Oncol 2022 403246-3256.

EMERALD: PFS by duration prior CDK4/6i (ESR1mut)



	Elacestrant	Hormonal Therapy	
Median PFS, months (95% CI)	4.14 (2.20 - 7.79)	1.87 (1.87 - 3.29)	
PFS rate at 12 months, % (95% CI)	26.02 (15.12 - 36.92)	6.45 (0.00 - 13.65)	
Hazard ratio (95% CI)	0.517 (0.361 - 0.738)		

	Elacestrant	Hormonal Therapy
Median PFS, months (95% CI)	8.61 (4.14 - 10.84)	1.91 (1.87 - 3.68)
PFS rate at 12 months, % (95% CI)	35.81 (21.84 - 49.78)	8.39 (0.00 - 17.66)
Hazard ratio (95% CI)	0.4 (0.262 -	10 0.634)

	Elacestrant	SOC Hormonal Therapy
Median PFS, months (95% CI)	8.61 (5.45 - 16.89)	2.10 (1.87 - 3.75)
PFS rate at 12 months, % (95% CI)	35.79 (19.54 - 52.05)	7.73 (0.00 - 20.20)
Hazard ratio (95% CI)	0.4 (0.270 -	66 0.791)

EMERALD Safety Summary

Updated safety data were consistent with previously reported results:

- Most adverse events (AEs), including nausea, were grade 1 and 2, and no grade 4 treatment-related AEs (TRAEs) were reported.
- Only 3.4% of patients receiving elacestrant and 0.9% receiving SOC discontinued therapy due to any TRAE.
- No deaths assessed as treatment-related were reported in either arm.
- No hematologic safety signal was observed, and none of the patients in either treatment arm had sinus bradycardia.

Nausea Summary	Elacestrant (n=237)	SOC (n=230)
Grade 3 nausea, n (%)	6 (2.5%)	2 (0.9%)
Dose-reduction rate due to nausea, n (%)	3 (1.3%)	Not applicable
Discontinuation rate due to nausea, n (%)	3 (1.3%)	0 (0%)
Antiemetic use	8%	10.3% (AI) 1.3% (Ful)

ET resistance and impact on sequential use ET +- combinations and new agents

EMERALD



SERENA-2



acelERA



PFS-INV in complementary subgroup of ESR/m not detected: HR 0.88 (95% CI = 0.54, 1.42)

Non-candidates to ET (monotherapy)

How do we select from our available treatments? Fulvestrant Rechallenge CDK4/6 inhibitors (+ ET) Fulvestrant + Capivasertib Exemestane (Fulvestrant) + Everolimus Fulvestrant + Alpelisib (in PIK3CAmut) Elacestrant (in ESR1mut) Olaparib – Talazoparib in gBRCA1/2mut ADCs

Bidard FC et al. J Clin Oncol 40:3246-3256; Martin M. ESMO 2022; Oliveira M SABCS 2022

Individual patient-level analysis from the Mammella Intergruppo (MIG) and Grupo Italiano Mammella (GIM) Studies (1992-2012)

- Using ESO/ESMO definitions, evaluated outcome in 6612 pts with HR+/HER2-ESBC enrolled in 4 ph III randomized trials evaluating chemo, ET and duration of ET
 - Median age 60, median FU 9 years; 9.7% with DR, primarily in bone
- 493 had DR as first DFS event
 - 14.6% primary endocrine resistant, 42% secondary endocrine resistant, 43.4% endocrine sensitive
 - Primary ER: more often young, and with higher incidence of visceral mets (particularly liver)



OS based on Endocrine Sensitivity

Lambertini et al, Lancet 2023;59: 101931

CAPItello-291: Study overview

Phase III, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study (NCT04305496)

Patients with HR+/HER2- ABC

- Men and pre-/post-menopausal women
- Recurrence or progression while on or <12 months from end of adjuvant AI, or progression while on prior AI for ABC
- ≤2 lines of prior endocrine therapy for ABC
- ≤1 line of chemotherapy for ABC
- Prior CDK4/6 inhibitors allowed (at least 51% required)
- No prior SERD, mTOR inhibitor, PI3K inhibitor, or AKT inhibitor
- HbA1c <8.0% (63.9 mmol/mol) and diabetes not requiring insulin allowed
- FFPE tumor sample from the primary/recurrent cancer available for retrospective central molecular testing



Dual primary endpoints

PFS by investigator assessment

- Overall
- AKT pathway-altered tumors (≥1 qualifying *PIK3CA*, *AKT1*, or *PTEN* alteration)

Key secondary endpoints

Overall survival

- Overall
- AKT pathway-altered tumors

Objective response rate

- Overall
- AKT pathway-altered tumors

HER2- was defined as IHC 0 or 1+, or IHC 2+/ISH-. *Region 1: United States, Canada, Western Europe, Australia, and Israel, Region 2: Latin America, Eastern Europe and Russia vs Region 3: Asia.

ABC, advanced (locally advanced [inoperable] or metastatic) breast cancer.

Pre- or peri-menopausal women also received a luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone agonist for the duration of the study treatment

CAPItello-291: Primary endpoint PFS in ITT and AKT pathway alterations



- Visceral metastasis: 66% vs73%
- Endocrine resistance: primary 38% vs 41% secondary 61% vs 59%
- **Prior ET for ABC:** ≥1 90% vs 85%
- Previous CDK 4/6i for ABC: 72% vs 67%

Any AKT pathway alterations 43.7% vs 38%

- PIK3CA only 31% vs 26.1%
- PIK3CA and AKT1 0.6% vs 0.6%
- PIK3CA and PTEN 1.1% vs 1.5%
- AKT1 only 5.1% vs 4.2%
- PTEN only 5.9% vs 4.5%

Turner GS3-04, SABCS2022 N Engl J Med 2023; 388:2058-2070

Exploratory analysis of CDK 4/6 inhibitor efficacy by PIK3CA mutation status

PALOMA 3



B OS regardless of treatment



MONARCH 2



Modified Hope Hugo; Cristofanilli. Clin Cancer Res 2022; 28:3433. Tonaley Clin Cancer Res 2022:28;1500

INAVO120: Study Design



Stratification factors:

- Visceral Disease (Yes vs. No)
- Endocrine Resistance (Primary vs. Secondary)[†]
- Region (North America/Western Europe; Asia; Other)

Endpoints

- Primary: PFS by Investigator
- Secondary: OS[‡], ORR, BOR, CBR, DOR, PROs

* Central testing for *PIK3CA* mutations was done on ctDNA using FoundationOne[®]Liquid (Foundation Medicine). In China, the central ctDNA test was the PredicineCARE NGS assay (Huidu).[†] Defined per 4th European School of Oncology (ESO)–European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) International Consensus Guidelines for Advanced Breast Cancer.¹ Primary: relapse while on the first 2 years of adjuvant ET; Secondary: relapse while on adjuvant ET after at least 2 years or relapse within 12 months of completing adjuvant ET. [‡] OS testing only if PFS is positive; interim OS analysis at primary PFS analysis; ** Pre-menopausal women received ovarian suppression. ctDNA, circulating tumor DNA; R, randomized. 1. Cardoso F, *et al. Ann Oncol* 2018;**29**:1634–1657.

INAVO120: Primary endpoint PFS (investigator-assessed)



CCOD: 29th September 2023

CI, confidence interval; Fulv, fulvestrant; Inavo, inavolisib; mo, months; Palbo, palbociclib; Pbo, placebo; PFS, progression-free survival.

Endocrine resistance:

- 34% primary
- 66% secondary

High risk features:

- 48% premenopausal
- 80% visceral mets, 50% liver mets

INAVO120: Key secondary endpoints



* Patients with a CR or PR on two consecutive occasions ≥4 weeks apart per RECIST v1.1.1 Seven patients with CR, 87 patients with PR. ¹ One patient with CR, 40 patients with PR, 79 patients with SD, 34 patients with PD, and 10 with missing status. ¹ Patients with a CR, PR, and/or SD for ≥24 weeks per RECIST v1.1. CBR, clinical benefit rate; CR, complete response; Fulv, fulvestrant; Inavo, inavolisib; ORR, objective response rate; Palbo, palbociclib; Pbo, placebo; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; RECIST, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors; SD, stable disease.

Key secondary endpoint: Overall survival (interim analysis)



Cl, confidence interval; Fulv, fulvestrant; Inavo, inavolisib; mo, months; NE, not estimable; OS, overall survival; Palbo, palbociclib; Pbo, placebo.

Adverse events from phase III trials: Inavolisib, Alpelisib and Capivasertib

Patients with key AEs,⁺ %	INA\ Inavo + P Fulvo (N:	/O120 ¹ Palbociclib+ estrant =162)	INAVO120 ¹ Palbocilib + fulvestrant Control arm (n = 162)		SOLAR-1 ² Alpelisib + fulvestrant (n = 284)		CAPitello-291 ³ Capivasertib + fulvestrant (n = 355)	
	Any grade	Grade ≥3	Any grade	Grade ≥3	Any grade	Grade ≥3	Any grade	Grade ≥3
Hyperglycemia [#]	59	6	9	0	64	33	16	2
Diarrhea	48	4	16	0	58	7	72	9
Rash	25	0	17	0	54	20	38	12
Stomatitis*	51	6	27	0	25	3	15	2
Nausea	28	1	17	0	45	3	35	1
AEs leading to study treatment discontinuation	7	N/A	1	N/A	25	N/A	13	N/A

Cross-trial comparisons should be interpreted with caution due to differences in patient populations and AE reporting.

Notes:

†For INAVO120, the key AEs were assessed as a medical concept (grouped terms),

#Eligibility varied widely between trials. For INAVO120, FBG <126 and HGBA1c <6%; For SOLAR-1, HGBA1c < 6.5%; For Capitello-291, HGBA1c <8%

*For INAVO120, stomatitis grouped term includes mucosal inflammation.

*For SOLAR-1 and CAPitello-291, stomatitis was reported as a single term; for Solar 1 mucosal inflammation was 18% for any Grade and 2% for Grade ≥3

Timeline of Targeted Therapies Approval for HR+ HER2-Metastatic Breast Cancer











gustavo.werutsky@lacogcancerresearch.org

OBRIGADO



O @drgustavowerutsky



Gustavo Werutsky

@GWerutsky



Exploratory analysis of CDK 4/6 inhibitor efficacy by PIK3CA mutation status



Modified Hope Hugo; Cristofanilli. Clin Cancer Res 2022; 28:3433. Tonaley Clin Cancer Res 2022:28;1500

Adverse events with any grade AEs ≥20% incidence in either treatment group

Adverse Events	Inavo+Pa	Ibo+Fulv	Pbo+Pal	bo+Fulv
	(N=	162)	(N=*	162)
	All Grades	Grade 3–4	All Grades	Grade 3-4
Neutropenia	144 (88.9%)	130 (80.2%)	147 (90.7%)	127 (78.4%)
Thrombocytopenia	78 (48.1%)	23 (14.2%)	73 (45.1%)	7 (4.3%)
Stomatitis/Mucosal inflammation	83 (51.2%)	9 (5.6%)	43 (26.5%)	0
Anemia	60 (37.0%)	10 (6.2%)	59 (36.4%)	3 (1.9%)
Hyperglycemia	95 (58.6%)	9 (5.6%)	14 (8.6%)	0
Diarrhea	78 (48.1%)	6 (3.7%)	26 (16.0%)	0
Nausea	45 (27.8%)	1 (0.6%)	27 (16.7%)	0
Rash	41 (25.3%)	0	28 (17.3%)	0
Decreased Appetite	38 (23.5%)	<2%	14 (8.6%)	<2%
Fatigue	38 (23.5%)	<2%	21 (13.0%)	<2%
COVID-19	37 (22.8%)	<2%	17 (10.5%)	<2%
Headache	34 (21.0%)	<2%	22 (13.6%)	<2%
Leukopenia	28 (17.3%)	11 (6.8%)	40 (24.7%)	17 (10.5%)
Ocular Toxicities	36 (22.2%)	0	21 (13.0%)	0

Key AEs are shown in **bold**. AES were assessed per CTCAE V5. Neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, stomatitis/mucosal inflammation, anemia, hyperglycemia, diarrhea, nausea and rash were assessed as medical concepts using grouped terms

AE, adverse event; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; Fulv, fulvestrant; Inavo, inavolisib; Palbo, palbociclib; Pbo, placebo.

Serious AE: 24.1% vs 10.5% AE leading to discontinuation of treatment: 6.8% vs 0.6% AE leading to dose modification/ interruption of treatment: 82.7% vs 74.7%

Prior treatments

		Overall p	opulation	AKT pathway-altered population	
Characteristic		Capivasertib + fulvestrant (N=355)	Placebo + fulvestrant (N=353)	Capivasertib + fulvestrant (N=155)	Placebo + fulvestrant (N=134)
Prior endocrine therapy for ABC; n (%)	0 1 2	40 (11.3) 286 (80.6) 29 (8.2)	54 (15.3) 252 (71.4) 47 (13.3)	14 (9.0) 130 (83.9) 11 (7.1)	20 (14.9) 96 (71.6) 18 (13.4)
Previous CDK4/6 inf	nibitor for ABC; n (%)	245 (69.0)	244 (69.1)	113 (72.9)	91 (67.9)
Alteration; n (%)		Capivasertib + fulvestrant (N=355)		Placebo + fulvestrant (N=353)	
Any AKT pathway alter	ration	155 (43.7)	134 (38.0)
ΡΙΚ3ϹΑ	Any PIK3CA only PIK3CA and AKT1 PIK3CA and PTEN	$\begin{array}{cccc} 116 (32.7) & 103 (29.2) \\ 110 (31.0) & 92 (26.1) \\ 2 (0.6) & 2 (0.6) \\ 4 (1.1) & 9 (2.5) \end{array}$		29.2) 6.1) 9.6) 9.5)	
AKT1 only		18 ((5.1)	15 (4.2)
PTEN only		21 (5.9)		16 (4.5)	

Adverse events (>10% of patients) – overall population



Adverse events of any grade related to rash (group term including rash, rash macular, maculo-papular rash, rash papular and rash pruritic) were reported in 38.0% of the patients in the capivasertib + fulvestrant arm (grade ≥3 in 12.1%) and in 7.1% of those in the placebo + fulvestrant group (grade ≥3 in 0.3%). †All events shown were Grade 3 except one case of Grade 4 hyperglycemia in the capivasertib + fulvestrant arm.

This presentation is the intellectual property of the author/presenter. Contact them at nick.turner@icr.ac.uk for permission to reprint and/or distribute.

Targeted therapies and associated biomarker in HR+/HER2- MBC

Printed by Debra Sieminski on 2/7/2023 12:39:17 PM. For personal use only. Not approved for distribution. Copyright © 2023 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc., All Rights Reserved.

Concerned in the local division of the local	National
	Compreh
NCCN	Cancer
	Network"

NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2023 Cer Work[®] Breast Cancer

NCCN Guidelines Index Table of Contents Discussion

ADDITIONAL TARGETED THERAPIES AND ASSOCIATED BIOMARKER TESTING FOR RECURRENT UNRESECTABLE (LOCAL OR REGIONAL) OR STAGE IV (M1) DISEASE

Biomarkers Associated with FDA-Approved Therapies

Breast Cancer Subtype	Biomarker	Detection	FDA-Approved Agents	NCCN Category of Evidence	NCCN Category of Preference
HR-positive/ HER2-negative ^v	PIK3CA activating mutation	PCR (blood or tissue block if blood negative)	Alpelisib + fulvestrant ^w	Category 1	Preferred second- or subsequent-line therapy
HR-positive/ HER2-negative ^x	ESR1 mutation	NGS, PCR (blood)	Elacestrant	Category 2A	Other recommended regimen
Any	NTRK fusion	FISH, NGS, PCR (tissue block)	Larotrectinib ^y Entrectinib ^y	Category 2A	
Any	MSI-H/dMMR	IHC, NGS, PCR (tissue block)	Pembrolizumab ^{z,aa} Dostarlimab-gxly ^{bb}	Category 2A	Useful in certain circumstances
Any	TMB-H (≥10 mut/mb)	NGS	Pembrolizumab ^{z,aa}	Category 2A	
Any	RET-fusion	NGS	Selpercatinibcc	Category 2A	

lidERA Phase 3 oral SERDs clinical trials with available results



Breast Cancer

Overview of clinical trials with selected SERDs in development

SERD	Giredestrant	Elacestrant	Amcenacrant	Camizestrant	Imlunestrant
МВС					
1st line (combo with CDK4/6) Ph 3	PersevERA (Gire+palbo vs let+palbo)		AMEERA-5 (Ames+patioo vs letro+patioo)	SERENA-4, (cami+Palbo vs ana+palbo) SERENA-6 (cami+Pablo, in ESR1)	EMBER 1 (imlune; imlune+ abema; imunle+abema+/-AI)
2nd line (single agent, Post CDK4/6)	AccelERA (gire vs Ful/AI) evERA (gire+everolimus)	EMERALD (Ela vs Ful/AI)	AMEERA-3 (amce vs Ful/AI/Tam)	SERENA-2 (cami vs fulv)	EMBER3 (Imlune vs Exe/Fulv vs Imlune+Abema)
EBC					
Neoadjuvant WoO phase 1, 2 studies	CoopERA (gire vs anastr)	ELIPSE (ph1; elace)	AMEERA-4 (amcevenetro) I-SPY EOP (amce+/-abema)	SERENA -3 (cami vs fulv)	EMBER-2 (ph1, imlune)
Adjuvant Phase 3	LidERA (gire vs Al/Tam)		AMEERA-6 (amccups Tam)- In patients who discount Al		EMBER-4 (ph3, imlune vs PCE) In pts who have received ET for 3-5 years, based on rising ctDNA

Overview of primary analysis results in ER+HER2- MBC with single agent oral SERDs



 mPFS was comparatively low in AMEERA-3 and EMERALD due to differences in patient populations; 79% of patients in AMEERA-3, and all patients in EMERALD, had received prior CDK4/6i (42% in acelERA BC)

• PFS benefit in subgroups was generally consistent with the ITT population in all three studies

* Sanofi announced in August 2022 that the amcenestrant clinical development programme will be discontinued.⁴ 1. primary; BC, breast cancer; CI, confidence interval; EP, endpoint; HR, hormone receptor; ITT, intention-to-treat; mBC, metastatic breast cancer; mo, months; mPFS, median progression-free survival; PCET, physician's choice of endocrine therapy; PFS, progression-free survival; SERD, selective oestrogen receptor degrader. 1. Martin M, *et al.* ESMO 2022 (Abstract 211MO; mini oral presentation); 2. Tolaney SM, *et al.* ESMO 2022 (Abstract 212MO; mini oral presentation); 3. Bidard F-C, *et al. J Clin Oncol* 2022; 4. https://www.sanofi.com/en/media-room/press-releases/2022/2022-08-17-05-30-00-2499668 (accessed August 2022).

A significant PFS benefit was seen in the *ESR1*-mutated population of EMERALD; a benefit trend was observed in acelERA BC and AMEERA-3



- Giredestrant and elacestrant had comparable PFS hazard ratios vs. PCET in ESR1-mutated subpopulations; the hazard ratio for amcenestrant was notably higher
- Oral SERDs target both oestrogen-dependent and -independent activity,^{4,5} whereas AIs target oestrogen-dependent activity only⁶

* Sanofi announced in August 2022 that the amcenestrant clinical development programme will be discontinued.7

1° primary; 2°, secondary; BC, breast cancer; EP, endpoint; mo, months; mPFS, median progression-free survival; PCET, physician's choice of endocrine therapy; PFS, progression-free survival; SERD, selective oestrogen receptor degrader. 1. Martin M, *et al.* ESMO 2022 (Abstract 211MO; mini oral presentation); 2. Tolaney SM, *et al.* ESMO 2022 (Abstract 212MO; mini oral presentation); 3. Bidard F-C, *et al. J Clin Oncol* 2022; 4. Jhaveri KL, *et al.* ASCO 2021 (Abstract 1017; poster); 5. Turner N, *et al.* SABCS 2021 (Abstract PD-13-07; poster); 6. Miller WR & Larionov AA, *Breast Cancer Res* 2012; 7. https://www.sanofi.com/en/media-room/press-releases/2022/2022-08-17-05-30-00-2499668 (accessed August 2022).